

ICL PUBLIC INQUIRY

Further proposed questions* on behalf of bereaved families and injured survivors (7 July 2008)

(*these take the place of any earlier proposed questions)

22 David Inglis (Inquiry Witness 26)

1. Paragraph 51 :

The tightness test is normally done at working pressure except with a new system. Why not carry out a test in excess of working pressure on old pipework ? A test at a pressure higher than working pressure puts pipework under more strain and therefore is a better test of pipework integrity.

2. Paragraph 57 :

Clarify under reference to the photographs of the pipework what he says belonged to the customer

3. Paragraph 65 :

There was an isolation valve in the basement. Can he confirm that he did not operate that valve in carrying out the tightness test ?

4. Paragraph 85 :-

(a) Was there any evidence of denso tape on that part of the pipe going into the ground ?
(b) Did he know how long the pipework had been in place ? Would his approach have been different if he had known it had been in place since 1969 ?

5. During LPG deliveries, did any factory employee participate in the delivery process ?

25 Sue Johnston (Inquiry Witness 43)

1. Para 26 :

(a) Can she confirm the letter to which she refers is dated 20 December 1982 by looking at ICL/011079

(b) If so, could she read paragraph 5 into the evidence.

(c) Look at ICL/011423 – can she confirm that the entry about the drench system reflects her understanding ?

2. Paragraph 27 :

On her visit of 13 November 1985 did she check to see if drench system had been installed ?

3. Paragraph 28 :

Having regard to what she records in her letter in relation to the LPG storage, what, if anything, had been done in response to the 1982 requirements ?

4. Paragraph 29 :

Can she confirm that the letter dated 13 January 1986 is to be found at ICL/011070-011072. If so, does she understand the final sentence to mean that no drench system had been installed ?

5. Paragraphs 30 and 31 :

It appears that the proposed check visit due in May 1986 did not take place and no further visits until 7 April 1988. There is a gap between 11 November 1985 to 7 April 1988 (2 ½ years). Why ?

6. On any of her visits did she give any consideration to the LPG pipework ?

7. Did she on her visit in 13 November 1985 give any consideration to the LPG pipework entering the unventilated basement ?

26 Alexander Keddie (no Inquiry witness number)

1. Paragraph 15

(a) Would he have raised the issue of the drench system during his visit of 7 April 1988 ?

(b) If so, what was the reaction ?

(c) Look at ICL/11548 and ICL/11547. See entry at ICL/11423 : in December 1982 Stott is saying the drench system is to be installed in Christmas shutdown. Any comments on these entries ?

(d) The drench system was proposed in 1982 as important to health and safety. How was ICL able to avoid implementing the HSE's instruction ?